IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : Case No. 3:18-cr-173

Plaintiff, : Judge Thomas M. Rose

v. :

RASU LEE TAYLOR, :

Defendant. :

FINAL ORDER OF FORFEITURE

Upon the United States' Motion for Final Order of Forfeiture and the Court's review of the record, the Court HEREBY FINDS THAT:

On August 30, 2019, the Court entered a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture, finding that all right, title, and interest in the following property (hereinafter the "subject property") had been forfeited to the United States pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 924(d)(1) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) and/or 21 U.S.C. § 853(a):

- Smith & Wesson, M&P 40 Shield Pistol, cal. .40, SN: HWM0028, with any attachments;
- Glock, GMBH 31 Pistol, cal. .357, SN: PUL672, with any attachments;
- Approximately 26 rounds Hornady .40 cal. ammunition;
- Approximately 6 rounds Hornady Critical Defense .40 cal. ammunition;
- Approximately 7 rounds Winchester-Western .40 cal. ammunition;
- Approximately 43 rounds Winchester Ranger .40 cal. ammunition;
- Approximately 26 rounds Fiocchi .357 cal. ammunition;
- Approximately 32 rounds Fiocchi .357 cal. ammunition; and

• \$4,527.00 in U.S. Currency.

The Court also found that the defendant had an interest in the subject property and directed the United States to seize the subject property and to give notice of its intent to forfeit the property.

The United States gave electronic notice through the CM/ECF notification system of the Motion for Preliminary Order of Forfeiture to counsel for the defendant, and the defendant did not object to the forfeiture.

On September 30, 2019, the Court held the defendant's sentencing hearing and announced the forfeiture of the subject property. The Judgment establishes that the defendant shall forfeit the subject property to the United States.

The United States published notice of this forfeiture action and of its intent to dispose of the subject property in accordance with the law on an official government internet site (www.forfeiture.gov) for at least 30 consecutive days, beginning on September 4, 2019.

The United States did not send direct written notice of the Preliminary Order of Forfeiture because no person or entity reasonably appeared to be a potential claimant with standing to contest the forfeiture of the subject property in the ancillary proceeding.

No person or entity has filed a timely petition with the Court asserting any interest in the subject property or objecting to its proposed forfeiture.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. All right, title, and interest in the subject property is condemned and forfeited to the United States under 18 U.S.C. § 924(d)(1) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) and/or 21 U.S.C. § 853(a), and no right, title, or interest shall remain in any other person or entity.
 - 2. The United States shall dispose of the subject property in accordance with the law.

3. The United States District	ct Court shall retain jurisdiction in the case for the purpose
of enforcing this Final Order of Forfeit	are.
SO ORDERED:	
Dated: December 16, 2019	*s/Thomas M. Rose
	THOMAS M. ROSE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE